PERIANGULAR APPROACH IN THE TREATMENT OF CONDYLAR-

BASE AND LOW CONDYLAR NECK FRACTURES: RETROSPECTIVE STUDY



Vavro M¹, Dvoranová B¹, Hirjak D¹

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Comenius University, University Hospital Ružinov, Bratislava, Slovakia

Introduction: Management of mandibular fractures (MCFs) controversial. This retrospective study presents the authors' experience with the periangular transcutaneous surgical approach to MCFs. 81 patients underwent open reduction and fixation (ORIF) of MCFs at our department in 01/2010-12/2018 via periangular infraparotid transmasseteric surgical approach.

Inclusion criteria for ORIF:

- Age > 12 years
- Trauma related malocclusion
- Ramus shortening, dislocation of the condyle out of the fossa, medially displaced condylar fragment

Exclusion criteria:

- Age < 12 years
- **Edentulous alveolar ridges**
- High risk of general anaesthesia
- Noncompliant patients

Results: OPG and CT scans were used. Patients were invited for follow-ups 7 days, 1, 3, 6 and 12 months after the operation. Following parameters were assessed: occlusion, maximal interincisal opening (MIO), deviation of the mandible during function, facial nerve function (FNF), occurrence of salivary fistulae and aesthetic result.



30 - 40 mm long curved skin incision at the palpable mandibular angle



Platysma muscle identified



Masseter muscle exposed and undermined



Marginal facial nerve branch identified traversing the lower angular border

Masseter muscle dissection above the visible marginal nerve branch directly to the bone



Fracture stumps identified and mobilized from soft tissues



Reduction



Rigid fixation using plates - 2 straight plates, lambda or trapezoid plates, chosen according to the individual fracture type and appropriate screws



Conclusion: Periangular infraparotid transmasseteric approach is an effective and safe approach for ORIF of condylar base and low condylar neck fractures for following reasons:

- Direct visualisation of the marginal mandibular branch of the facial nerve in most cases reduces risk of facial nerve
- Direct visualisation of bony fragments and orthogonal application of the plate(s) and screws possible
- Avoiding injury of the parotid gland.

The authors certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial or non-financial interest. Literature: 1. AL-MORAISSI, Essam Ahmed; ELLIS, Edward; NEFF, Andreas. Does 3. LI, Jie; YANG, Hongbin; HAN, Lin. Open versus closed treatment for unilateral encountering the facial nerve during surgical management of mandibular condylar process fractures increase the risk of facial nerve weakness? A systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial 4. MERCURI, L. G.; STEINBERG, M. J. Sequencing of care for multiple Surgery, 2018, 46.8: 1223-1231.

2. AL-MORAISSI, Essam Ahmed, et al. Does the surgical approach for treating mandibular condylar fractures affect the rate of seventh cranial nerve injuries? 5. NEFF, Andreas, et al. Position paper from the IBRA symposium on surgery of A systematic review and meta-analysis based on a new classification for surgical approaches. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, 2018, 46.3: 398-412.

mandibular extra-capsular condylar fractures: a meta-analysis. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, 2019, 47.7: 1110-1119.

maxillofacial injuries. Principles of oral and maxillofacial surgery. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott Co. 1992, 615-22.

the head-the 2nd international symposium for condylar fracture osteosynthesis, Marseille, France 2012. Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, 2014, 42.7: 1234-1249.